

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,782	01/26/2001	Alexandra D. Bermel	82111HEC	8268
7590 12/31/2003			EXAMINER	
Patent Legal Staff			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1774	16
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	, 19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
0.5	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,782	BERMEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 C</u>	October 2003 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for forma	l matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/770,782

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasahara et al. (EP 1002660) in view of any of Brown (US2002/0086909), Akiya et al. (5,041,328) and Darsillo et al. (6,365,264) for reasons of record and for reasons given below.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasahara et al. (EP 1002660) in view of any of Brown (US2002/0086909), Akiya et al. (5,041,328) and Darsillo et al. (6,365,264) and further in view of Tokunaga et al. (6,403,162 for reasons of record and for reasons given below.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed October 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the primary reference does not teach fumed alumina. If it had, there would have been no need for a combination rejection. In fact most, if not all of applicants' arguments are to the individual references rather than to the combination rejection.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Finally, with respect to the argument that applicants have demonstrated unexpected results, the examiner has carefully reviewed the showings in the specification. The showings do not demonstrate unexpected results based upon the quantity of crosslinking agent because the examples fail to hold all but one variable

Application/Control Number: 09/770,782

Art Unit: 1774

constant. In addition to varying the quantity of crosslinking agent, applicants' examples use polyvinyl alcohol B while the comparative examples use polyvinyl alcohol D.

Therefore, a direct comparison cannot be made.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-1528. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0994.

PRSchwartz December 27, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMIN.